

**Motions**

1:07-cv-00767-WSD Securities And Exchange Commission v. Global Online Direct, Inc. et al  
8months, SUBMDJ

**U.S. District Court****Northern District of Georgia****Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered by Laddin, Darryl on 2/21/2008 at 12:30 PM EST and filed on 2/21/2008

**Case Name:** Securities And Exchange Commission v. Global Online Direct, Inc. et al  
**Case Number:** 1:07-cv-767  
**Filer:** Michael A. Grassmueck  
**Document Number:** 60

**Docket Text:**

**MOTION for Order (i) Authorizing the Sale of Certain Real Properties Free and Clear of All Liens, Claims, Interests and Encumbrances; (ii) Authorizing the Receiver to Pay Certain Liens and Claims From the Sale of the Real Properties; (iii) Relieving the Receiver From the Provisions of 28 U.S.C. Sections 2001-2002; and (iv) Approving the Real Estate Brokers's Commission; and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof by Michael A. Grassmueck. (Laddin, Darryl)**

**1:07-cv-767 Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

Alana R. Black blacka@sec.gov, alana@aya.yale.edu, kuceram@sec.gov

William P. Hicks Hicksw@SEC.Gov, Kuceram@SEC.Gov

Darryl Scott Laddin dladdin@agg.com

William Gordon Leonard leonardb@mindspring.com

Aaron William Lipson lipsona@sec.gov

Avital Stadler avi.stadler@sablaw.com

David R. Zaro dzaro@allenmatkins.com

**1:07-cv-767 Notice has been delivered by other means to:**

Ira L. Ching  
3326 Union Street  
Apartment 4A  
Flushing, NY 11354

Elaine D. Hadden  
c/o 1737 East G. Street, #36  
Ontario, CA 91764

Arnold G. Krell  
15222 SW Firtree Drive  
Tigard, OR 97223

Donovan Le  
c/o 1419 S. 308th Lane  
Federal Way, WA 98003

Rebecca Rust-Krell  
15222 SW Firtree Drive  
Tigard, OR 97223

Colleen Standifer  
c/o 1618 41st Street NW  
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

LeRoy Standifer  
c/o 1618 41st Street NW  
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Stephan L. Wakefield  
508 Boyton Avenue  
Kensington, CA 94707

Joshua A. del Castillo  
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis, LLP  
515 South Figueroa Street  
7th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

**Document description:**Main Document

**Original filename:**n/a

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP dcecfStamp\_ID=1060868753 [Date=2/21/2008] [FileNumber=2276126-0]  
][0a0d2f6a6617a53ac410e317bba37cd681f175343d27a8f59378a8aa5f3fe9e7658  
73fd847362a974ec7ab5f40ffd4a68ec356fac8f71ff0aaf6452b5bfe42fd]]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GLOBAL ONLINE DIRECT, INC.,  
BRYANT E. BEHRMANN and  
LARRY "BUCK" E. HUNTER,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:07-CV-0767-WSD

**MOTION FOR ORDER (i) AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF CERTAIN  
REAL PROPERTIES FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL LIENS, CLAIMS,  
INTERESTS AND ENCUMBRANCES;; (ii) AUTHORIZING THE  
RECEIVER TO PAY CERTAIN LIENS AND CLAIMS FROM THE SALE  
OF THE REAL PROPERTIES; (iii) RELIEVING THE RECEIVER FROM  
THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. §§ 2001-2002; AND (iv) APPROVING THE  
REAL ESTATE BROKER'S COMMISSION; AND MEMORANDUM OF  
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

Michael A. Grassmueck (the "Receiver"), the duly appointed receiver for Global Online Direct, Inc. ("Global") and its subsidiaries Global Online Depository, Global Online SPIP, Global Online Auction Stores, Triple Diamond B, Bodaga Bay, Bodaga Bay Trucking, Inc., Catherine Crick Riders, Double B Broadcasting, Inc., The AM Show, Double B MPG, Global Online Direct, U Loan We Pay, and Bargain Hunter, Inc. and their subsidiaries and affiliates and any entities controlled by them (collectively referred to as the "Receivership Entities"), hereby moves this Court (the "Motion") for authorization to (i) sell certain real properties free and clear of all liens, claims, interests and encumbrances; (ii) pay

certain liens and claims from the sale of the real properties; (iii) relieve the Receiver from the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2001-2002; and (iv) approve the real estate broker's commission.

**I. INTRODUCTION.**

By this Motion, the Receiver requests that the Court authorize the sale of certain real properties of the receivership estate (the "Receivership Estate"), which consist of the real properties located at: (i) 10114 Wood Villa Drive, Union, Oregon ("Wood Villa Property"), (ii) 60572 High Valley Road, Union, Oregon, ("High Valley Property"), (iii) 59998 Smith Loop, La Grande, Oregon ("Smith Loop Property"), (iv) 2206 L Avenue, La Grande, Oregon ("L Avenue Property"), (v) 103 Bellwood, Union, Oregon, ("Bellwood Property"), and (vi) 1151 N. Main Street, Union, Oregon ("Main Street Property") (collectively, the "Receivership Sale Properties"), free and clear of all liens, claims and encumbrances, with such liens, claims and encumbrances attaching to the proceeds of sale.

Pursuant to the Application (the "Employment Application") to Employ Property Manager and Real Estate Broker, filed on August 20, 2007, the Receiver previously sought the approval of the listing of the Receivership Sale Properties, and other Receivership Estate properties, (collectively, the "Receivership Estate Properties") with real estate broker Mr. Roger Goodman of Century 21 Eagle Cap Realty (the "Broker"). The Broker's employment to market and sell the Receivership Sale Properties was approved by Court Order entered on October 30, 2007 (the "Broker Employment Order").

The Receiver submits this Motion to approve the sale of the Receivership Sale Properties to the highest offers received for the Receivership Sale Properties. The Receiver has obtained offers for the Receivership Sale Properties, but such

offers are subject to higher bids. To the extent that the Receiver obtains higher offers than the current offers, the Receiver seeks Court approval of sale of the Receivership Sale Properties to the highest offers. The Receiver sells such Receivership Sale Properties "AS IS," "WHERE IS," and "WITH ALL FAULTS", and the Receiver makes no representations or warranties in respect to the condition of these Properties. Further, the Receiver requests that the Court authorize him to pay from the proceeds of sale of the Receivership Sale Properties, the valid liens, taxes, and any other claims, on the Properties, subject to any objections to such liens, taxes or claims by the Receiver.

The Receiver also requests, by this Motion, that the Court waive the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2001(a) and 2002, which provide for the sale of the Receivership Sale Properties pursuant to a foreclosure-type or public auction process. Further, Receiver seeks waiver of the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2001(b) applying to private sales, including requiring certain appraisals, newspaper publications for the private sale, and confirmation of the private sale.

In accordance with the Receiver's business judgment, the waivers of Sections 2001(a) and 2002 are appropriate. The most likely way for the Receiver to realize the highest prices for the sale of the Receivership Sale Properties is through the commercially reasonable and customary method of listing the Properties with a broker and conducting a private sale. Further, the Receiver believes that listing and selling the Properties through a broker for the highest price offered, is the best method to obtain the highest and best price for the Properties, without having to incur the additional expenses in complying with Section 2001(b).

Finally, the Receiver seeks authority to compensate the Broker in accordance with the listing agreement (the "Broker Agreement") at the applicable sales commission from the proceeds of sale of the Receivership Sale Properties. The sales commission amounts are described below and in the Employment Application. The Employment Application was approved pursuant to the Broker Employment Order. The Broker Employment Order also approved the Broker Agreement, and this Motion also seeks approval to pay the Broker his sales commission in accordance with such previously approved Broker Agreement.

## **II. STATEMENT OF FACTS.**

### **A. The SEC Action and Investigation of Global's Business Operations**

On April 25, 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") commenced an action against the Defendants for violations of various federal securities laws. According to the SEC, the Defendants were involved in the fraudulent offer and sale of approximately \$45 million of unregistered securities, beginning in October 2005. On June 4, 2007, this Court appointed Michael A. Grassmueck as receiver.

Based on the Receiver's investigation, Global sold its inventory on consignment through a handful of retail outlets, but conducted its business on atypical terms. Global also sold some inventory via online auctions. There does not appear to have been financial analysis or reporting that reflects an evaluation as to the cost of the inventory acquired by Global versus the pricing of inventory sold.

### **B. Global is Not Viable as an Ongoing Business**

From his investigation and analysis, the Receiver concluded that Global is not viable as an ongoing business concern. Global's lack of financial controls and record keeping coupled with inadequate working capital, and nonexistent business

plans, do not make for a viable ongoing business. The Receiver therefore concluded that Global cannot be sold as a going concern, and its assets must be sold for the benefit of the Receivership Estate.

C. The Receivership Estate Properties and the Application to Employ Broker

The Receiver previously identified at least twenty (20) separate real properties owned by the Receivership Entities. The Receiver conducted an extensive investigation and analysis in connection with the prospective sale of these properties. On August 20, 2007, the Receiver filed the Employment Application.

Pursuant to the Employment Application, the Receiver identified the Receivership Sale Properties on his list of the Receivership Estate Properties to be sold by the Broker. Further, pursuant to the Employment Application, and the Broker Agreement, the Receiver sought and obtained authority to pay the Broker a sales commission from the sale of the Receivership Estate Properties of six percent (6%), if the sales price is less than or equal to \$200,000, and five and one half percent (5.5%) if the sales price is greater than \$200,000. All sales of the Receivership Estate Properties are to be governed by the Receiver's Earnest Money Agreement, subject to Court approval. The Court entered the Broker Employment Order approving the Employment Application on October 30, 2007.

D. The Receivership Sale Properties

Through the Receiver's Broker, the Receiver marketed the Receivership Sale Properties by, among other things, listing them with several real estate listing services in Oregon, including "Real Estate Source", "The Real Estate Guide", and the "Home Builders Guide", listed them on the web at "GoodmanMLS", "Century

21. com", and the "Realtor.com", and advertised them in a public newspaper, "The Observer", which is circulated in the area where the Properties are located. The Receiver's Broker also sent flyers to 980 of the Broker's clients in his efforts to market the Properties.

The Properties were listed and advertised for a period of at least twenty-one (21) days, after which the Receiver began receiving offers to purchase the Properties. The Receiver accepted the highest offers for each of the Properties, subject to higher bids. The accepted offers were derived through arms-length negotiations, and the Receiver believes that the accepted offers, at this time, are the best and highest offers that the Receivership Estate will receive for each of the Properties. However, the Receiver reserves the right to pursue higher and better offers to the extent they are received by the Receiver, and seeks as part of the Court's order authority to sell the Receivership Sale Properties to the highest bidder.

1. The Wood Villa Property

On or about December 6, 2007, the Receiver entered into the Receiver's Earnest Money Agreement, with proposed buyer Dale K. Miller, for the sale of the Wood Villa Property to Mr. Miller. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "A". Mr. Miller offered the amount of \$100,000 for the purchase of the Wood Villa Property. Mr. Miller has paid an earnest money deposit of \$10,000, and will pay the balance of the purchase price following Court approval of the sale of the Wood Villa Property and at closing of the sale of the Wood Villa Property. The Earnest Money Agreement also provides at Section 3.2 that Mr. Miller acknowledges that the sale of this Wood Villa Property may be subject to higher bids. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "A".



Since the purchase price is less than \$200,000, the Broker would be entitled to a 6% commission from the sale of the Wood Villa Property in accordance with the Broker Agreement. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 8. The Receiver estimates a net return from the sale of the Wood Villa Property of \$93,218.19, after credit for certain county taxes of \$231.74, and after payment of the Broker's commission of \$6,000, title charges of \$338, property taxes of \$530.55 and a city lien of \$145. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 8.

## 2. The High Valley Property

On or about December 6, 2007, the Receiver entered into the Receiver's Earnest Money Agreement, with proposed buyer Robert L. Sheehy, for the sale of the High Valley Property to Mr. Sheehy. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "B". Mr. Sheehy offered the amount of \$90,000 for the purchase of the High Valley Property. Mr. Sheehy has paid an earnest money deposit of \$1,000, and will pay the balance of the purchase price following Court approval of the sale of the High Valley Property and at closing of the sale of the High Valley Property. The Earnest Money Agreement also provides at Section 3.2 that Mr. Sheehy acknowledges that the sale of this High Valley Property may be subject to higher bids. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "B".

Since the purchase price is less than \$200,000, the Broker would be entitled to a 6% commission from the sale of the High Valley Property in accordance with the Broker Agreement. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 9. The Receiver estimates a net return from the sale of the Wood Villa Property of \$83,949.71, after credit for certain county taxes of \$260.05, and after payment of the Broker's commission of \$5,400, title charges of \$315, and property taxes of \$595.34. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 9.

### 3. The Smith Loop Property

On or about December 6, 2007, the Receiver entered into the Receiver's Earnest Money Agreement, with proposed buyer Eagle Cap Campers, Inc. ("Eagle Cap"), for the sale of the Smith Loop Property to Eagle Cap. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "C". Eagle Cap initially offered the amount of \$175,000 for the purchase of the Smith Loop Property. The Receiver countered at \$200,000, and Eagle Cap accepted the counter-offer at \$200,000. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "D". Eagle Cap paid an earnest money deposit of \$10,000, and will pay the balance of the purchase price following Court approval of the sale of the Smith Loop Property and at closing of the sale of the Smith Loop Property. The Earnest Money Agreement also provides at Section 3.2 that Eagle Cap acknowledges that the sale of this Smith Loop Property may be subject to higher bids. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "C".

Since the purchase price is equal to \$200,000, the Broker would be entitled to a 6% commission from the sale of the Smith Loop Property in accordance with the Broker Agreement. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 10. The Receiver estimates a net return from the sale of the Smith Loop Property of \$186,785.49, after credit for certain county taxes of \$828.71, and after payment of the Broker's commission of \$11,000, title charges of \$700, property taxes of \$1,897.72, and a construction lien of \$446. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 10.

### 4. The L Avenue Property

On or about January 21, 2008, the Receiver entered into the Receiver's Earnest Money Agreement, with proposed buyer James R. Richards ("Richards"), for the sale of the L Avenue Property to Richards. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "E". Richards offered the amount of \$285,000 for the purchase of the L

Avenue Property. Richards has paid an earnest money deposit of \$10,000, and will pay the balance of the purchase price following Court approval of the sale of the L Avenue Property and at closing of the sale of the L Avenue Property. The Earnest Money Agreement also provides at Section 3.2 that Richards acknowledges that the sale of this L Avenue Property may be subject to higher bids. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "E".

Since the purchase price is more than \$200,000, the Broker would be entitled to a 5.5% commission from the sale of the L Avenue Property in accordance with the Broker Agreement. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 11. The Receiver estimates a net return from the sale of the L Avenue Property of \$266,989.79, after credit for certain county taxes of \$1,085.53, and after payment of the Broker's commission of \$15,675, title charges of \$685, and property taxes of \$2,733.74. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 11.

#### 5. The Bellwood Property

On or about December 6, 2007, the Receiver entered into the Receiver's Earnest Money Agreement, with proposed buyers Gregg Marugg and Cindi Stawicki ("Marugg and Stawicki"), for the sale of the Bellwood Property to Marugg and Stawicki. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "F". Marugg and Stawicki initially offered the amount of \$25,000 for the purchase of the Bellwood Property. The Receiver countered at \$35,000, and Marugg and Stawicki accepted the counter-offer at \$35,000. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "G". Marugg and Stawicki paid an earnest money deposit of \$1,000, and will pay the balance of the purchase price following Court approval of the sale of the Bellwood Property and at closing of the sale of the Bellwood Property. The Earnest Money Agreement also provides at Section 3.2 that Marugg and Stawicki acknowledge

that the sale of this Bellwood Property may be subject to higher bids. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "F".

Since the purchase price is less than \$200,000, the Broker would be entitled to a 6% commission from the sale of the Bellwood Property in accordance with the Broker Agreement. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 12. The Receiver estimates a net return from the sale of the Bellwood Property of \$32,547.55, after credit for certain county taxes of \$100.10, and after payment of the Broker's commission of \$2,100, title charges of \$200, and property taxes of \$252.55. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 12.

#### 6. The Main Street Property

On or about January 17, 2008, the Receiver entered into the Receiver's Earnest Money Agreement, with proposed buyers Frank Wentzel and Jane Wentzel ("Wentzels"), for the sale of the Main Street Property to the Wentzels. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "H". The Wentzels offered the amount of \$95,000 for the purchase of the Main Street Property. The Wentzels have paid an earnest money deposit of \$2,500, and will pay the balance of the purchase price following Court approval of the sale of the Main Street Property and at closing of the sale of the Main Street Property. The Earnest Money Agreement also provides at Section 3.2 that Wentzels acknowledge that the sale of this Main Street Property may be subject to higher bids. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, Exhibit "H".

Since the purchase price is less than \$200,000, the Broker would be entitled to a 6% commission from the sale of the Main Street Property in accordance with the Broker Agreement. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 13. The Receiver estimates a net return from the sale of the Main Street Property of \$88,614.63, after credit for certain county taxes of \$235.97, and after payment of the Broker's

commission of \$5,700, title charges of \$326, and property taxes of \$595.34. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 13.

E. The Relief Requested

Pursuant to the Application, and the Earnest Money Agreements, each sale of the Receivership Sale Properties is subject to Court approval. Thus, pursuant to the Motion, the Receiver seeks Court approval of the sale of the Receivership Sale Properties free and clear of all liens, claims and encumbrances, with such liens, claims and encumbrances, if any, attaching to the proceeds of sale.

Further, the Receiver requests that the Court authorize him to sell to the highest bidder for the Receivership Sale Properties, and to pay from the proceeds of sale, the valid liens, taxes, and any other claims, on the Sale Properties, subject to any objections to such liens, taxes, or claims by the Receiver. Further, the Receiver requests that the Court waive the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2001-2002, as discussed in Section IV below.

**III. THE SALE OF THE RECEIVERSHIP SALE PROPERTIES SHOULD BE AUTHORIZED.**

A. This Court has Authority to Order the Sale of the Receivership Sale Properties.

It is generally conceded that a court of equity having custody and control of property has power to order a sale of the same in its discretion. *See, e.g., S.E.C. v. Elliott*, 953 F.2d 1560, 1566 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992) (the District Court has broad powers and wide discretion to determine relief in an equity receivership). "The power of sale necessarily follows the power to take possession and control of and to preserve property." *See also S.E.C. v. American Capital Invest., Inc.*, 98 F.3d 1133, 1144 (9th Cir. 1996), *cert. denied* 520 U.S. 1185 (decision abrogated on other grounds)

(citing 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers § 482 (3d ed. 1992)(citing First Nat'l Bank v. Shedd, 121 U.S. 74, 87 (1887)). "When a court of equity orders property in its custody to be sold, the court itself as vendor confirms the title in the purchaser." 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law and Practice of Receivers § 487).

"A court of equity, under proper circumstances, has the power to order a receiver to sell property free and clear of all encumbrances." Miners' Bank of Wilkes-Barre v. Acker, 66 F.2d 850, 853 (2d Cir. 1933). See also, 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers § 500 (3rd ed. 1992). To that end, a federal court is not limited or deprived of any of its equity powers by state statute. Beet Growers Sugar Co. v. Columbia Trust Co., 3 F.2d 755, 757 (9th Cir. 1925) (state statute allowing time to redeem property after a foreclosure sale not applicable in a receivership sale).

Generally, when a court-appointed receiver is involved, the receiver, as agent for the court, should conduct the sale of the receivership property. Blakely Airport Joint Venture II v. Federal Sav. and Loan Ins. Corp., 678 F. Supp. 154, 156 (N.D. Tex. 1988). The receiver's sale conveys "good" equitable title enforced by an injunction against the owner and against parties to the suit. See 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law and Practice of Receivers §§ 342, 344, 482(a), 487, 489, 491 (3d ed. 1992). "In authorizing the sale of property by receivers, courts of equity are vested with broad discretion as to price and terms." Gockstetter v. Williams, 9 F.2d 354, 357 (9th Cir. 1925).

Based on the information set forth above, this Court has the power to authorize the Receiver to sell the Receivership Sale Properties free and clear of all liens, claims, interests, and encumbrances. The Receiver sells such Properties "AS

IS," "WHERE IS," and "WITH ALL FAULTS" basis, and the Receiver makes no representations or warranties in respect to the condition of these Properties.

Further, in conjunction with its broad equitable power in respect to authorizing the sale, the Receiver requests that the Court authorize him to the extent that higher offers are received, to sell to the highest bidder, and to pay from the proceeds of sale of the Receivership Sale Properties, the valid liens, taxes, and any other claims, on the Sale Properties, subject to any objections to such liens, taxes, or claims by the Receiver.

**IV. THE RECEIVER SEEKS COURT APPROVAL OF THE RECEIVER'S ADDITIONAL REQUESTS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SALE OF THE RECEIVERSHIP SALE PROPERTIES**

The Receiver also requests, by this Motion, that the Court waive the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2001(a) and 2002, which provide for the sale of the Receivership Sale Properties pursuant to a foreclosure-type or public auction process. Further, the Receiver seeks waiver of the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2001(b) applying to private sales, including requiring certain appraisals, newspaper publications for the private sale, and confirmation of the private sale. *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 16.

In accordance with the Receiver's business judgment, the waivers of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2001(a) and 2002 are appropriate and the most likely way for the Receiver to realize the highest prices for the sale of the Receivership Sale Properties through the commercially reasonable and customary method of listing the Properties with a broker and conducting a private sale, as opposed to conducting a foreclosure-type sale. Further, the Receiver believes that listing and selling the Properties through a broker for the highest price offered, is the best

method to obtain the highest price for the Properties, without having to incur the additional expenses for appraisal, publication, and confirmation, as set forth in Section 2001(b). *See* Grassmueck Declaration, ¶ 17.

These Properties were marketed and advertised in an effort to maximize the prices received, and after at least twenty-one (21) days of marketing and advertising, the Receiver began receiving offers for the purchase of the Properties. The Receiver accepted the highest offers for each of the Properties, subject to higher bids. The accepted offers were derived through arms-length negotiations and the Receiver believes that the accepted offers are the best and highest offers that the Receivership Estate will receive for each of the Properties. However, the Receiver reserves the right to pursue higher and better offers to the extent they are received by the Receiver, and seeks as part of the Court's order authority to sell the Receivership Sale Properties to the highest bidder.

The Receiver also seeks authority to compensate the Broker, in accordance with the Broker Agreement at the applicable sales commission, from the proceeds of sale of the Receivership Sale Properties, as described above and in the Application, filed on August 20, 2007, and as approved pursuant to Court Order, entered on October 30, 2007. *Grassmueck Declaration*, ¶ 18.

#### **V. CONCLUSION.**

WHEREFORE, the Receiver requests that this Court enter an order for authorization to (i) sell certain real properties free and clear of all liens, claims, interests and encumbrances; (ii) pay certain liens and claims from the sale of the real properties; (iii) relieve the Receiver from the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2001-2002; and (iv) approve the Broker's commission.



Dated: February 21, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David R. Zaro, Esq.

David R. Zaro, Esq.  
Pro Hac Vice -- CA Bar No. 124334  
dzaro@allenmatkins.com  
ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE  
MALLORY & NATSIS LLP  
515 S. Figueroa Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071-3398  
Telephone: 213.622.5555  
Facsimile: 213.620.8816

-and-

/s/ Darryl S. Laddin, Esq.

Darryl S. Laddin, Esq.  
Georgia Bar No. 460793  
darryl.laddin@agg.com  
Frank N. White, Esq.  
Georgia Bar No. 753377  
frank.white@agg.com  
ARNALL GOLDEN GREGORY LLP  
171 17th Street, NW, Suite 2100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30363  
Telephone: 404.873.8500  
Facsimile: 404.873.8121

Attorneys for Receiver,  
Michael A. Grassmueck